| Name of the State/ System/Station | Capacity (MW) | Plant Load Factor (%) 1993-94 (Upto Nov., 93) |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| *Maharashtra* | | |
| Nasik | 910.0 | 59.6 |
| Koradi | 1080.0 | 64.8 |
| Paras | 58.0 | 43.9 |
| Bhusawal | 478.0 | 68.2 |
| Parli | 690.0 | 48.2 |
| Chandrapur | 2840.0 | 61.3 |
| K. Khada | 420.0 | 74.2 |
| NSEB | | 61.5 |
| Trombay | 1330.0 | 50.7 |
| Maharashtra | | 59.0 |
| *Madhya Pradesh* | | |
| Satpura | 1142.5 | 42.8 |
| Korba | 400.0 | 41.9 |
| Amarkantak | 290.0 | 47.5 |
| Korba West | 840.0 | 59.2 |
| MPEB | | 48.3 |
| NTPC Korba | 2130.0 | 75.0 |
| NTPC Vindhyachal | 1260.0 | 76.8 |
| Madhya Pradesh | | 63.6 |
| *Andhra Pradesh* | | |
| Kothagudam | 670.0 | 48.7 |
| Vijayawada | 840.0 | 74.1 |
| Ramagundam B | 62.5 | 61.5 |
| Nellore | 30.0 | 35.3 |
| APSEB | | 62.2 |
| NTPC Ramagundam | 2100.0 | 74.3 |
| Andhra Pradesh | | 69.1 |
| *Karnataka* | | |
| Raichur | 630.0 | 65.4 |
| *Tamil Nadu* | | |
| Ennore | 450.0 | 51.0 |
| Tuticorin | 1050.0 | 69.9 |
| Mettur | 840.0 | 76.7 |
| TNEB | | 68.5 |
| Neyveli | 2055.0 | 53.0 |
| Tamil Nadu | | 61.2 |
| *Bihar* | | |
| Patratu | 770.0 | 28.0 |
| Barauni | 310.0 | 14.4 |
| Muzaffarpur | 220.0 | 23.4 |
| Bihar | — | — |
| Kahalgaon (NTPC) | 210.0 | — |
| *Orissa* | | |
| Talchar | 460.0 | 34.1 |
| *West Bengal* | | |
| Bandel | 530.0 | 45.6 |
| Santhaldih | 480.0 | 32.6 |
| WBSEIB | | 39.4 |
| Kolaghat (WBP Dv. C) | 1050.0 | 68.9 |
| Durgapur Project Ltd. | 390.0 | 26.1 |
| Calcutta Electric Supply Company | 620.0 | 73.6 |
| NTPC Farakka | 1130.0 | 63.2 |
| West Bengal | | 54.8 |
| *Damodar Valley Corporation* | | |
| Chandrapur | 750.0 | 29.9 |
| Durgapur | 340.0 | 44.4 |
| Bokaro | 820.0 | 48.5 |
| Damodar Valley Corporation | | 39.3 |
| *Assam* | | |
| Chandrapur | 60.0 | 15.4 |
| Namrup | 30.0 | 22.2 |
| Bongalgaon | 240.0 | 12.7 |
| Gas Turbine | | 23.8 |
| Assam | | 17.1 |
| ALL INDIA | | 58.1 |

**Proposal to instal a Power Project in Haryana**

1554. SHRI MOHINDER SINGH LATHER: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to set up a power project in Haryana during the current financial year;

(b) if so, what are the areas identified for the work and the decision, if any, taken by Government in this regard; and

(c) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) No Power project in Haryana is scheduled for commissioning during the year 1993-94.

(b) and (c) The capacity addition programme for the 8th Plan 1992—97) envisages setting up of the following power projects in Haryana:—

| Sl. No. | Name of the Project/ Type | Capacity (MW) |
|---|---|---|
| *State Sector* | | |
| 1. | Dadnur H.E. Project . | 6 |
| 2 | Panipat Unit-6 (Thermal Power Project) . . | 210 |
| 3 | Western Yamuna Canal H.E. Project . . . | 16 |
| *Central Sector* | | |
| 4 | Yamuna Nagar Thermal Power Project . . | 210 |
| 5 | Faridabad Combined Cycle Gas Turbine Project . | 400 |

**Bawana Combined Cycle Gas-Based Plant**

1555. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that for the 450 MW Bawana combined cycle gas-based plant, tenders were invited by Delhi Electric Supply Undertaking and the CEA has favoured a company having practically no experience in the field while the other bidders had outstanding credentials;

(b) if so, what are the names of the bidders for the project with their respective experience in the field;

(c) what are the reasons for selecting a company having no experience in the field; and

(d) whether Government propose to review the entire process of evaluation and selecton of the bidders?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) to (d) Out of the proposals invited by Delhi Electric Supply Undertaking (DESU) for establishment of a Combined Cycle Gas-based Power Plant at Bawana, six entrepreneurs were shortlisted by the Cenral Electricity Authority (CEA) who were the consultants for the Project. However, detailed project reports were furnished by the following four parties:—

1. Asea Brown Boveri-NTPC (ABB-NTPC).
2. Straw Products Limited (SPL) alongwith C.M.S. Energy, USA.
3. Reliance Industries Limited (RIL) alongwith Kuljian, USA and D.C.L., India.
4. M/s. Northern Engineering Inc., (NEI), USA.

All the four promotors or their Co-promotors, as above, have the relevant experience, for which necessary weightage has been given in making comparative evaluation of the offers by the Central Electricity Authority as Consultants of the project.

Delhi Electric Supply Undertaking has set up a Committee to examine the evaluation report furnished by the Central Electricity Authority before making a final selection of the party. The question of favouring any particular bidder does not arise.

**Hydroelectric Potential of North-East Region**

1556. SHRI DAVID LEDGER: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) the approximate hydroelectric power potential of the North-East region; and